Surrogate Endpoints Cloud Large DES Trials

By Jason Kahn

When cost or the sheer number of patients required prohibits investigators from examining clinical outcomes, researchers often use various angiographic biomarkers as "surrogate" outcomes to predict clinical endpoints in large trials comparing different endovascular therapies.

But are these biomarkers true surrogates that are predictive of clinical events? Or should they be viewed as merely informative, and not definitive enough to aid clinicians in choosing among different treatment approaches? This question has been particularly vexing in the area of drug-eluting stents (DES), where a spate of comparative trials have been published in recent years.

No one would argue that the ideal comparative trial design would look at major adverse cardiac events (MACE), which usually includes death (coronary death, cardiac death, or death from any cause) and/or myocardial infarction (MI, with or without Q wave or STEMI, or NSTEMI). Target vessel failure (TVF) and target vessel revascularization (TVR) are also important clinical endpoints. But designing sufficiently powered trials to yield these outcomes is not always possible, noted Ashley Boam, Interventional Cardiology Device Branch Chief, Office of Device Evaluation, FDA.

"Because clinical event rates are so low with the current drug-eluting stents on the market, sample sizes would have to be quite large to show noninferiority," Boam said. "Surrogate endpoints allow more flexibility because they require smaller sample sizes."
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BAV Improves Quality of Life, Avoids Surgical Risks

Despite a lack of impact on long-term mortality, BAV remains a palliative therapy for many patients who are otherwise poor candidates for valve replacement surgery. The goal of therapy in older adults with aortic valve stenosis may often be pure symptom relief. Octogenarian and nonagenarian patients with multiple comorbidities are often hospitalized repeatedly for congestive heart failure and are debilitated to the point that their activities of daily living are highly compromised. Significant quality of life improvements to these patients consist of enough clinical improvement to avoid frequent hospitalizations, engage more fully in activities of daily living, and experience relief of symptoms. For this group of patients, the potential for improved symptoms with minimal procedural morbidity and a short hospital stay is highly attractive.

It is not widely appreciated that aortic valve surgery in this group of patients is complicated by more than increased in-hospital or 30-day surgical risk.7-12 While the upper decile of patients at high risk for aortic valve replacement surgery may have hospital mortality in the range of 15-18%, which is quite acceptable in this group, their 1-year survival is not substantially different than either untreated patients or BAV patients, with 1-year mortality as high as 40-50%.

Furthermore, for this group of patients, quality of life is the major reason for intervention of any kind. In my own conversations with elderly patients regarding management for aortic valve disease, they are relatively unconcerned about procedure mortality and highly concerned about the potential for stroke or prolonged disability during the recovery period. More than 50% of octogenarian and nonagenarian patients treated with aortic valve replacement are discharged to nursing home facilities and may spend anywhere from 1-4 months undergoing rehabilitation.11 Readmission to the hospital within 30 days of operation occurs in almost 20% of Medicare patients after AVR surgery.12 For patients with a limited life span, this represents a significant loss of quality of life. In addition, the peri-operative stroke rate in this group ranges between 5-15%, compared to 1% or less with BAV. Neurocognitive deficits may diminish the functional capacity of an additional substantial proportion of patients as well.13-14

Early Octogenarians, Ambulatory Elderly Best Candidates

Accordingly, BAV may represent an attractive alternative therapy for many of these older patients. Certainly, patients in the early octogenarian decade who are good candidates for aortic valve replacement should have this therapy; however, many of these patients have already undergone a prior sternotomy or have other comorbidities, including chronic lung disease, renal failure, chronic anemia, porcelain aorta, or multiple comorbidities. For these high-risk patients, BAV may offer excellent palliation for 1-2 years, with a hospital mortality of 5-8%, and a length of stay of 1-2 days.15 Length of stay for octogenarian patients after aortic valve surgery averages 2 weeks.12 Most patients return to full activity immediately after discharge. Another variable is patient preference. It is common for older patients, especially those who have had a prior sternotomy, to want to avoid a major operation.

The best candidates for BAV are the ambulatory elderly. The prototypic patient is >80 years old with some comorbidity for valve surgery, but not yet hospital-bound. Patients who have been hospitalized for days or weeks, who are “stuck” on pressors, or are septic are less likely to tolerate a BAV procedure.

Retrograde, Antegrade Techniques Both Improved Since '80s

The techniques for performing aortic valvuloplasty include the conventional retrograde approach and the more recently utilized antegrade transseptal approach.16-18 Both techniques have improved considerably since they were introduced in the 1980s. The acute results of balloon dilatation are substantially better than they have been in the past, and the quality of the acute result has been demonstrated to correlate with the durability to some degree.

Retrograde valvuloplasty requires an 11 or 12 French arterial sheath. This is limiting in a remarkably small proportion of this patient population, since survival into this age group is often accompanied by surprisingly less atherosclerotic and peripheral vascular disease. After placement of a large sheath, the valve is crossed retrograde and a balloon catheter ranging from 20-24 mm diameter is passed retrograde across the valve and inflated to relieve the stenosis.

Recently, rapid right ventricular pacing has been introduced as a method to diminish balloon “watermelon seeding” during balloon inflations (see figure 1). Without this adjunct, ventricular
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systole, especially in patients with preserved left ventricular systolic function, ejects the balloon during inflation attempts in the stenotic aortic valve. Burst pacing at between 200–220 beats per minute effectively reduces cardiac output sufficiently to allow the balloon to be positioned for inflations in a stable manner. Shorter balloons can thus be used, thereby diminishing the time of the inflation-deflation cycle. The entire procedure is facilitated, and better acute results can be obtained.

Preclosure Aids Puncture Management

The use of suture preclosure has diminished the challenges in managing the large arterial puncture.19-20 Using a single 10 French Perclose device or 2 6 French Closer-S devices, immediate hemostasis can be obtained in the vast majority of patients. This has been demonstrated to virtually eliminate the need for periprocedural blood transfusions. Using manual compression, the rate of transfusion has been 25%. In one series, transfusion was completely eliminated with the use of suture preclosure. In addition, the length of stay was diminished from over 5 days in patients treated with manual compression to an average of 2.2 days for patients treated using the suture preclosure approach.

More recently, improved acute results from antegrade BAV have been described.17 This approach eliminates the need for large-caliber arterial puncture. A 12 or 14 French sheath can be placed in the right femoral vein to allow transseptal access and antegrade passage of a wire loop through the circulation, followed by balloon placement. Venous preclosure may be used as well, which similarly simplifies the management of the large venous puncture.

Antegrade valvuloplasty may be performed with a conventional balloon or with an Inoue balloon (see figure 2). The valve areas achieved with the Inoue device are significantly greater than with a conventional balloon, due at least in part to the larger size balloon that can be used with the Inoue approach and possibly also to a more advantageous geometry of the Inoue balloon in relation to the valve and sinuses of Valsalva. The antegrade approach is more complex technically, but has the advantages of eliminating the need for a large arterial puncture, allowing more stable positioning of the balloon in the valve and facilitating the delivery of a larger balloon into the valve orifice. The Inoue balloon in particular has a very rapid inflate and deflate cycle, with very little hemodynamic instability during the procedure.

Most patients are palliated for 1–2 years after BAV. For many, this means that they avoid the repeated hospitalizations for congestive heart failure that are the most common current indication for this procedure.

Neutron Beam X-ray, Percutaneous Methods Hold Promise

In an attempt to improve the durability of BAV results, Pederson has recently described focused neutron beam X-ray therapy for BAV patients.21 Among patients who achieved a good initial result, a number have reached the 1-year postprocedure mark with a completely preserved postprocedure aortic valve area. This is a remarkable improvement in the results of this procedure, which has been plagued by an almost uniform occurrence of restenosis in the vast majority of patients.

A future role of balloon aortic valvuloplasty will be influenced by the development of methods for percutaneous aortic valve replacement. Some patients may undergo BAV as a bridge or as therapy for shock, in preparation for percutaneous valve replacement. Current approaches for percutaneous valve replacement require BAV as predilatation for placement of either self-expanding or balloon-expandable stent-mounted bioprosthetic valve prostheses. Thus, the importance of BAV-associated techniques may increase in the near future.

It has been observed that statin therapy may diminish the progression of aortic stenosis. This has been seen in a number of observational studies, but to date, a single randomized trial is negative.22 Unless a medical therapy for prevention of aortic stenosis is developed, the number of patients in need of valve therapy will grow substantially as the population ages. Accordingly, it remains unclear whether the incidence of senile calcific aortic stenosis will remain stable or decline in the next few decades.

In current practice, BAV is underutilized for the palliation of severe aortic stenosis in patients who are poor candidates for aortic valve replacement surgery. Advanced age, porcelain aorta, prior sternotomy, low body mass index, and multiple organ system comorbidities remain important indications for the use of this therapy in octogenarian and nonagenarian patients.

References


(continued on page 4)
Balloons Aortic Valvuloplasty (continued from page 3)


---

**We Want to Hear From You**

Would you like to share your views on a clinical topic with your fellow Academy members, or sound off on something you read in this issue? Send a letter to: editor@crf.org along with your name and hospital/affiliation.